## IN THE INCOME TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, AGRA BENCH, AGRA

## BEFORE: SHRI SATBEER SINGH GODARA, JUDICIAL MEMBER AND SHRI MANOJ KUMAR AGGARWAL, ACCOUNTANT MEMBER

ITA No. 30/Agr/2022 Assessment Year: 2010-11

| Mohd. Umar Khan, 1/116, P.O. | Vs. | Pr. CIT-1,   |
|------------------------------|-----|--------------|
| Fatehgarh, Machhali Tola.    |     | Agra         |
| PAN: ADBPK5980D              |     |              |
| (Appellant)                  |     | (Respondent) |

| Assessee by   | S/Shri Swarn Singh & Sh. Shailesh Gupta, CAs |
|---------------|--|
| Department by | Sh. Sukesh Kumar Jain, CIT (DR)              |

| Date of hearing       | 11.02.2025 |
|-----------------------|------------|
| Date of pronouncement | 11.02.2025 |

## ORDER

## Per Satbeer Singh Godara, Judicial Member:

This assessee's appeal for assessment year 2010-11, arises against the Pr. Commissioner of Income Tax-1 (in short "PCIT), Agra's DIN and order no. ITBA/REV/F/REV5/2020-21/1031826206(1) dated 27.03.2021, involving proceedings under section 263 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act').

- 2. Heard both the parties. Case file perused.
- 3. It emerges at the outset that there arises the first and foremost issue of validity of the learned PCIT's revision order dated 27.03.2021 itself for want of limitation as prescribed under section 263(2) of the Act

as "No order shall be made under sub-section (1) after the expiry of

two years from the end of the financial year in which the order

sought to be revised was passed".

4. It is in this statutory background that the learned counsel has

invited our attention to impugned revisionary directions holding the

assessment order dated 22.03.2013 as an erroneous one causing

prejudice to the interest of revenue.

5. Learned CIT/DR, on the other hand, vehemently contends that the

said assessment followed consequential proceedings as well, which form

subject matter of section 263 revision order. We find no reason to accept

the grievance of the Revenue in the foregoing circumstances once

learned PCIT's impugned order dated 27.03.2021 has revised the

assessment dated 22.03.2013, which is barred by statutory limitation

prescribed under section 263(2) of the Act. Faced with this situation, we

quash impugned section 263 revision directions in very terms.

6. This assessee's appeal is allowed.

Order pronounced in the open court on11<sup>TH</sup>February, 2025.

Sd/-(MANOJ KUMAR AGGARWAL) **ACCOUNTANT MEMBER** 

Sd/-(SATBEER SINGH GODARA) JUDICIAL MEMBER

Dated: 11<sup>TH</sup>February, 2025.

\*aks/-