

**IN THE INCOME TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
DELHI BENCH 'F', NEW DELHI**

**Before Sh. R.S.SYAL, VICE PRESIDENT
AND BEENA A. PILLAI, JUDICIAL MEMBER**

**ITA No. 3036/Del/2016
Assessment Year : 2011-12**

ITO Ward-50(3), R. No. 1409, Block-E-2, 14 th Floor S.P.Mukharjee Civic Centre, Minto Road New Delhi	Vs	Dhirender Rehnani 21/45, Old Rajinder Nagar New Delhi PAN: AJIPR8455R
(APPELLANT)		(RESPONDENT)

**Revenue by : Sh. Atiq Ahmad, Sr. DR
Assessee by : None**

Date of Hearing : 14.11.2017	Date of Pronouncement : 14.11.2017
------------------------------	------------------------------------

ORDER

PER BEENA A. PILLAI : JUDICIAL MEMBER

This is an appeal by the department against the order dated 07.03.2016 of CIT(A)-17, New Delhi.

2. The ld. DR submitted as under:

“It may be mentioned here that as many as about 200 appeals are fixed for hearing today i.e. on 18.12.2015 in the light of CBDT’s latest Circular no. 21/2015 regarding disposal of appeal filed by the Revenue.

It is to inform you that it may not be feasible immediately to see each and every case independently and the matter will have to be taken with respective Assessing Officer’s to

verify and report in all the cases as per separate list enclosed.

Therefore, it is kindly prayed that these appeals may be kindly be adjourned for another dates convenient to your honour.”

3. Nobody was present on behalf of the assessee.
4. We have considered the submissions of ld. DR for adjournment of this appeal. We are of the view that these are not to be adjourned as per the instructions of the CBDT to the Department, wherein it is clearly mentioned that the circular no. 21/2015 is applicable retrospectively and the appeals of the Department, wherein tax effect is less than Rs. 10 lacs should be withdrawn or not pressed. Therefore, the request of the ld. DR for adjourning the appeals is rejected.
5. During the course of hearing, the ld. D.R., although supported the order of the Assessing Officer, but could not controvert this fact that tax effect in this appeal is less than Rs.10,00,000/-.
6. After considering the submissions of the ld. D.R. and the material on record, it is noticed that Section 268A has been inserted by the Finance Act, 2008 with retrospective effect from 01/04/99. The provisions contained in section 268A read as under:

“268A. (1) The Board may, from time to time, issue orders, instructions or directions to other

income-tax authorities, fixing such monetary limits as it may deem fit, for the purpose of regulating filing of appeal or application for reference by any income-tax authority under the provisions of this Chapter.

(2) Where, in pursuance of the orders, instructions or directions issued under sub-section (1), an income-tax authority has not filed any appeal or application for reference on any issue in the case of an assessee for any assessment year, it shall not preclude such authority from filing an appeal or application for reference on the same issue in the case of—

- (a) the same assessee for any other assessment year; or*
- (b) any other assessee for the same or any other assessment year.*

(3) Notwithstanding that no appeal or application for reference has been filed by an income-tax authority pursuant to the orders or instructions or directions issued under sub-section (1), it shall not be lawful for an assessee, being a party in any appeal or reference, to contend that the income-tax authority has acquiesced in the decision on the disputed issue by not filing an appeal or application for reference in any case.

(4) The Appellate Tribunal or Court, hearing such appeal or reference, shall have regard to the orders, instructions or directions issued under sub-section (1) and the circumstances under which such appeal or application for reference was filed or not filed in respect of any case.

(5) Every order, instruction or direction which has been issued by the Board fixing monetary limits for filing an appeal or application for reference shall be deemed to have been issued under sub-section (1) and the provisions of sub-sections (2), (3) and (4) shall apply accordingly.]”

7. It is not in dispute that the Board’s instruction or directions issued to the other income-tax authorities are binding on those authorities, therefore, the department ought not to have filed the appeal in view of the above mentioned section 268A since the tax effect in the instant case is less than the amount prescribed for not filing the appeal.

8. It is noticed that the CBDT has issued Circular No.21 of 2015 dated 10.12.2015, vide which it has revised the monetary limit to Rs.10,00,000/- for not filing the appeal before the Tribunal. The said circular read as under:

“Subject : Revision of monetary limits for filing of appeals by the Department before Income Tax Appellate Tribunal and High Courts and SLP before Supreme Court – measures for reducing litigation – Reg.

Reference is invited to Board’s instruction No 5/2014 dated 10.07.2014 wherein monetary limits and other conditions for filing departmental appeals (in Income-tax matters) before Appellate

Tribunal and High Courts and SLP before the Supreme Court were specified.

2. *In supersession of the above instruction, it has been decided by the Board that departmental appeals may be filed on merits before Appellate Tribunal and High Courts and SLP before the Supreme Court keeping in view the monetary limits and conditions specified below.*

3. *Henceforth, appeals/ SLPs shall not be filed in cases where the tax effect does not exceed the monetary limits given hereunder:*

S. No	Appeals in Income-tax matter	Monetary Limit (in Rs)
1	<i>Before Appellate Tribunal</i>	10,00,000/-
2	<i>Before High Court</i>	20,00,000/-
3	<i>Before Supreme Court</i>	25,00,000/-

*It is clarified that an appeal should not be filed merely because the tax effect in a case exceeds the monetary limits prescribed above. Filing of appeal in such cases is to be decided **on merits** of the case.*

4. *For this purpose, “tax effect” means the difference between the tax on the total income assessed and the tax that would have been chargeable had such total income been reduced by the amount of income in respect of the issues against which appeal is intended to be filed (hereinafter referred to as “disputed issues”). However the tax will not include any interest thereon, except where chargeability of interest itself is in dispute. In case the chargeability of interest is the issue under dispute, the amount of interest shall be the tax effect. In cases where returned loss is reduced or assessed as income,*

the tax effect would include notional tax on disputed additions. In case of penalty orders, the tax effect will mean quantum of penalty deleted or reduced in the order to be appealed against.

5. The Assessing Officer shall calculate the tax effect separately for every assessment year in respect of the disputed issues in the case of every assessee. If, in the case of an assessee, the disputed issues arise in more than one assessment year, appeal, can be filed in respect of such assessment year or years in which the tax effect in respect of the disputed issues exceeds the monetary limit specified in para 3. No appeal shall be filed in respect of an assessment year or years in which the tax effect is less than the monetary limit specified in para 3. In other words, henceforth, appeals can be filed only with reference to the tax effect in the relevant assessment year. However, in case of a composite order of any High Court or appellate authority, which involves more than one assessment year and common issues in more than one assessment year, appeal shall be filed in respect of all such assessment years even if the 'tax effect' is less than the prescribed monetary limits in any of the year(s), if it is decided to file appeal in respect of the year(s) in which 'tax effect' exceeds the monetary limit prescribed. In case where a composite order/ judgement involves more than one assessee, each assessee shall be dealt with separately.

6. In a case where appeal before a Tribunal or a Court is not filed only on account of the tax effect being less than the monetary limit specified above, the Commissioner of Income-tax shall specifically record that "even though the decision is not acceptable, appeal is not being filed only on the

consideration that the tax effect is less than the monetary limit specified in this instruction". Further, in such cases, there will be no presumption that the Income-tax Department has acquiesced in the decision on the disputed issues. The Income-tax Department shall not be precluded from filing an appeal against the disputed issues in the case of the same assessee for any other assessment year, or in the case of any other assessee for the same or any other assessment year, if the tax effect exceeds the specified monetary limits.

7. In the past, a number of instances have come to the notice of the Board, whereby an assessee has claimed relief from the Tribunal or the Court only on the ground that the Department has implicitly accepted the decision of the Tribunal or Court in the case of the assessee for any other assessment year or in the case of any other assessee for the same or any other assessment year, by not filing an appeal on the same disputed issues. The Departmental representatives/counsels must make every effort to bring to the notice of the Tribunal or the Court that the appeal in such cases was not filed or not admitted only for the reason of the tax effect being less than the specified monetary limit and, therefore, no inference should be drawn that the decisions rendered therein were acceptable to the Department. Accordingly, they should impress upon the Tribunal or the Court that such cases do not have any precedent value. As the evidence of not filing appeal due to this instruction may have to be produced in courts, the judicial folders in the office of CIT must be maintained in a systemic manner for easy retrieval.

8. Adverse judgments relating to the following issues should be contested on merits notwithstanding that the tax effect entailed is less than the monetary limits specified in para 3 above or there is no tax effect:

- (a) Where the Constitutional validity of the provisions of an Act or Rule are under challenge, or
- (b) Where Board's order, Notification, Instruction or Circular has been held to be illegal or ultra vires, or
- (c) Where Revenue Audit objection in the case has been accepted by the Department, or
- (d) Where the addition relates to undisclosed foreign assets/ bank accounts.

9. The monetary limits specified in para 3 above shall not apply to writ matters and direct tax matters other than Income tax. Filing of appeals in other Direct tax matters shall continue to be governed by relevant provisions of statute & rules. Further, filing of appeal in cases of Income Tax, where the tax effect is not quantifiable or not involved, such as the case of registration of trusts or institutions under section 12A of the IT Act, 1961, shall not be governed by the limits specified in para 3 above and decision to file appeal in such cases may be taken on merits of a particular case.

10. This instruction will apply retrospectively to pending appeals and appeals to be filed henceforth in High Courts/ Tribunals. Pending appeals below the specified tax limits in para 3 above may be withdrawn/ not pressed. Appeals before the Supreme Court will be governed by the instructions on this subject, operative at the time when such appeal was filed.

11. This issues under Section 268A (1) of the Income-tax Act 1961.”

9. From Clause 10 of the above circular it is clear that these instructions are applicable to the pending appeals also and there is clear cut instruction to the department to withdraw or not to press the appeals filed before the ITAT wherein tax effect is less than Rs.10,00,000/-. These instructions are operative retrospectively to the pending appeals.

10. Keeping in view the CBDT Circular No.21 of 2015 dated 10.12.2015 and also the provisions of Section 268A of Income Tax Act, 1961, we are of the view that the Revenue should not have filed the instant appeal before the Tribunal.

11. In view of the above, without going into merits of the case, we dismiss the appeal filed by the department.

12. In the result, appeal of the department is dismissed.
(Order Pronounced in the Court on 14.11.2017)

Sd/-
(R.S.SYAL)
VICE PRESIDENT

Dated: 14.11.2017

Binita

Copy forwarded to:

1. Appellant
2. Respondent
3. CIT
4. CIT(Appeals)
5. DR: ITAT

Sd/-
(BEENA A PILLAI)
JUDICIAL MEMBER

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

		Date
1.	Draft dictated on	14.11.2017
2.	Draft placed before author	14.11.2017
3.	Draft proposed & placed before the second member	
4.	Draft discussed/approved by Second Member.	
5.	Approved Draft comes to the Sr.PS/PS	
6.	Kept for pronouncement on	
7.	File sent to the Bench Clerk	
8.	Date on which file goes to the AR	
9.	Date on which file goes to the Head Clerk.	
10.	Date of dispatch of Order.	